

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,142	06/21/2005	Kuniaki Kawaguchi	1226-111	8877
23117 7590 05/14/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			MOORE, MARGARET G	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				,		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/540,142	KAWAGUCHI, KUNIAKI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Margaret G. Moore	1712			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		• •			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 to 6 is/are pending in the application	n.	,			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 to 6</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage			
* 5	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list		. d			
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot receive	eu.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/540,142 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kashihara in view of Anada.

Kashihara teaches a polyacetal resin blend of a low hydroxyl containing polyacetal resin and a high hydroxyl containing polyacetal resin. See Table 1 which combines a polyacetal having a hydroxyl content of 18 mmol/kg with a polyacetal having a hydroxyl content of 100 or 150 mmol/kg in amounts that fall within the claimed range. While column 8, lines 5 to 10, teaches the addition of various fillers, Kashihara do not specifically teach the addition of glass fillers. Please note that this composition is used to make moldings.

Anada teaches polyacetal resin molding compositions. As can be seen from column 1, lines 15 to 23, it is known to include surface treated glass fillers to polyacetal resins in improve the mechanical strength. Note particularly that a surface treated filler used in combination with a boric acid compound (which is allowed for in the instant claims) provides notably improved molding compositions.

Thus one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the teachings of Anada to include a silane or titanate surface treated glass filler, such as glass fiber or glass flake, to the polyacetal resin molding composition in Kashihara in an effort to improve the mechanical strength thereof. The surface treated filler meets the requirements of claims 5 and 6.

For claim 2, please see column 2, lines 35 to 48, in Kashihara. For claims 3 and 4, see column 3, lines 35 to 62.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 1712

272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712

mgm 5/10/07